

You can break me at any time!), <sup>on it</sup> but that's what I mean by apparently fulfilled predictions. And no specific word, like finding a scroll in Assyria that says, "And we brought over here so and so, the High Priest from Bethel, and he put his wife in the public house," - no particular expression like that. We assume the fulfillment of it in <sup>a realistic</sup> ~~the~~ sense.

In the case of the third one

(3) That is a giant one, and I warn you with all my might and main -- the prophecy conferences (I hate to say it), but in the prophecy conferences, if you don't have five new predictions just fulfilled in the last ten years, never have been fulfilled before, you don't have much of a reputation! If a guy says, Well, isn't Gog positively, absolutely Russia; and isn't Rosh the old Czar and won't he come back from the dead! If you don't have at least -- Now, I personally think prophecy is being fulfilled day by day but I urge caution on the seeing the fulfillment of those things. (I may be overly cautious; I may bend the other way. But I read a book just last night, and Monday -- I will have a bibliography tomorrow -- I wasn't going to do that, but I am not going to be outdone by a New Testament professor who gives out a bibliography of 6 or 7 books only have 8 or 9! Whatever the case is! I read a book just the other night -- I mean in preparation for this particular thing; I had read it perhaps 20 years ago, and written in 1933 -- He sees a lot of things fulfilled specifically in 1933 which, if that book were written today, would have been seen fulfilled in 1963 or '65. Well, they are obviously not fulfilled over and over again every 30 years, and so the result is, there are many things under 3. Just be very, very careful about a thing. Don't be so careful that you lose the blessing of prediction and fulfillment, but be very careful of that. Russell Taylor Smith in Philadelphia shot his reputation on Mussolini (who) If you don't know/he was, it doesn't make any difference now, but he shot his reputation on Mussolini with an enormous amount of writing that he was the Anti-Christ. He had all the qualifications. He had a big nose, and he came, you know, out of the right territory, etc., he made \_\_\_\_\_, other things, but we have every reason to think that Mussolini was not the Anti-Christ. He may have been a but not the.) So, in that particular case -- in the case of four -- that's - Mr. Dunzweiler perhaps touched on that this morning. If he didn't, we will simply leave it there, but that's where the