

not, I had collated all the prophecies and found that there were about 13 to 1 in Isaiah -- 13 second advent-type prophecies to every one first advent-type prophecies. You have to do a study like that for yourself. Now, by second advent, I mean the fulfilling of those things which we do not see yet. Of course, if you take the figurative approach that it is one point ~~earlier~~ earlier, then everything is mish-mash and you don't have to worry about that at all. But the second advent is enormously predicated in Scripture. Consequently, it is rather easy to take any problematic thing and dump it into the eschatological bin. Some Bible ~~scholars~~ scholars dump the whole of Isaiah 13 through 23 except a few verses in chapter 13 into what I call the eschatological pot, and they see the Day of the Lord mentioned there. Now the one thing that baffles them is the fact that the fall of Babylon in Isaiah 13 is ~~in~~ <sup>at</sup> the hands of the Medes, and that is a kind of a little organic thing, but a double fulfillment device is often used at that point, but some see the whole of 13 through 23 dropped into that eschatological ~~vat~~ vat and see no historic ~~of~~ fulfillment at all. Most ~~of~~ see some historic fulfillment and a certain amount of prophetic fulfillment. But what I am saying here is that ~~there~~ there is a certain degree of legitimacy to a certain amount of that because so much in Scripture is in an eschaton type of view. So much <sup>of it</sup> is oriented towards the last days and towards Jehovah's wrapping up of this whole thing. Now when you see a passage like the one we have looked at in Ezekiel yesterday, where there were about 1200 or 1300 years between the first point and the second point, if you postulate another 1300 years, between the second point and the third point, you are in an eschatological \_\_\_\_\_. You are in an end time \_\_\_\_\_ situation. So, I only mention this. I think I say in my sheet. But one has to be somewhat respectful about ~~it~~ it, but at the same time, I personally am very much opposed to just looking at a prophecy and you can't figure out how it is, and you say: *Well,* It must be the end time. Now, I think that our studies have to be a little more thorough than that as I will note later on in my solutions or suggestions here. But there is a tendency just to drop it. Well, it must be <sup>the</sup> the end time, and if it is in the end times, it is something we can't tell anything about, and they just kind of \_\_\_\_\_ that sort of a situation. So, I urge folks to avoid making <sup>the</sup> eschatology of the end times a kind of a vat where you drop everything you can't put in your own structure otherwise.

So, I will move on to Five. Five is a matter of Mr. \_\_\_\_\_. Dr. *Salt (?)*