

- B. The Method: A number of doctrines are revisited with observations on the inability of evangelical answers and a consideration of the superior answers in the Barthian theology. It is not suggested that Barth be taken as the final word but as a stepping stone, at least, in the general direction of a point of spiritual and academic integrity.
- C. The Aim: (Positively): To suggest methods of meeting the rational world honestly while not throwing the faith to the winds.
(Negatively) To make anyone out of step who is not concessive and place all such under the dreadful pale of obscurantism.

III. Analysis

- A. Some Caustic observations...some tongue in cheek, some not: 1204/
22-23
1. Only Ramm understands Barth...a characteristic problem
 - a. His personal contact
 - b. the attempt to render Barth evangelical...patristically and presently 60, 62, 97, 78, 190
 - c. the attempt to render Barth superior...the unfortunate comparison with L.S. Chafer and the matter of the Biblical citations
 2. Only Ramm understands the issues (and deals with same)
 3. The correct answers are predetermined and not on an objective basis.
- B. With what is Ramm concerned? The better answer?
1. Harmonization: *meeting the enlightenment*
 2. Image...nothing so evil as to be thought an obscurantist p. 19
43
 3. Cultural neglect? 43, 45
 4. Truth?
- C. The Leading Problems in the Approach...as I see them
1. Concessionism ¹⁴²
- he overstates the Enlightenment.
 2. Imposition